### REPORT

#### MORPHOGENS

# Engineering synthetic morphogen systems that can program multicellular patterning

Satoshi Toda<sup>1</sup>\*†, Wesley L. McKeithan<sup>1</sup>, Teemu J. Hakkinen<sup>2</sup>, Pilar Lopez<sup>1</sup>, Ophir D. Klein<sup>2,3</sup>, Wendell A. Lim<sup>1</sup>\*

In metazoan tissues, cells decide their fates by sensing positional information provided by specialized morphogen proteins. To explore what features are sufficient for positional encoding, we asked whether arbitrary molecules (e.g., green fluorescent protein or mCherry) could be converted into synthetic morphogens. Synthetic morphogens expressed from a localized source formed a gradient when trapped by surface-anchoring proteins, and they could be sensed by synthetic receptors. Despite their simplicity, these morphogen systems yielded patterns reminiscent of those observed in vivo. Gradients could be reshaped by altering anchor density or by providing a source of competing inhibitor. Gradient interpretation could be altered by adding feedback loops or morphogen cascades to receiver cell response circuits. Orthogonal cell-cell communication systems provide insight into morphogen evolution and a platform for engineering tissues.

evelopment of multicellular organisms requires precise spatial control of cell fate. Morphogens are molecules that provide positional information. They diffuse from a source to form a concentration gradient that is interpreted by neighboring cells (1-4). In metazoans, a small set of specialized molecules, including sonic hedgehog (Shh), Wnt, fibroblast growth factor (FGF), and bone morphogenetic protein (BMP)-transforming growth factor- $\beta$  (TGF $\beta$ ) family members, serve as morphogens (5). Reconstitution of morphogen signaling in vitro is a powerful approach to understand how morphogens encode positional information (6, 7). To define the minimal requirements for a functional morphogen, we asked whether it is possible to construct a synthetic morphogen signaling system that functions orthogonally to endogenous morphogens. Orthogonal morphogen signaling would enable the systematic exploration of patterning circuits, free from confounding cross-talk with endogenous systems.

To create synthetic morphogens, we modified the recently developed synthetic Notch (synNotch) system to detect user-defined soluble factors. SynNotch receptors are a modular platform for engineering orthogonal juxtacrine signaling (8), composed of an extracellular recognition domain [e.g., nanobody or single chain antibody (scFv)], the Notch core regulatory domain, and an intracellular transcriptional domain (e.g., TetR-VP64). When an anti-green fluorescent protein (GFP) synNotch receptor recognizes membrane-tethered GFP on a neighboring sender cell, the synNotch core undergoes cleavage, which releases its intracellular domain to enter the nucleus to activate target gene expression. Soluble GFP does not activate synNotch because exposure of the cleavage site requires the mechanical force of a membrane-tethered ligand (8). Here, we reengineered the synNotch system to detect soluble molecules by tethering the diffusible ligand to a complementary engineered anchor cell (Fig. 1A). In the case of GFP, we used two noncompetitive anti-GFP nanobodies: One serves as the anchor binding domain, and the other serves as the receptor binding domain (Fig. 1B). We designed this diffusible synNotch system with anti-GFP LaG2 nanobody fused to a transmembrane domain as the anchor molecule and anti-GFP LaG17 nanobody as the recognition domain of the synNotch receptor (fig. S1A). These nanobodies recognize different sites on GFP (9). SynNotch receiver cells were only activated by soluble GFP in the presence of anchor cells (Fig. 1C and fig. S1. B and C).

To test whether this soluble synNotch system allowed GFP to function as a morphogen, we reconstituted an in vitro model of L929 fibroblast cells organized into a pole and a body (fig. S2A) (culture well divided by insert wall; see materials and methods). The pole was composed of GFP secretor cells and the body of mixed anchor and receiver cells. To minimize disruptive convective flow, we used a solidified media containing 1% agarose (fig. S2B). We observed the formation of a longrange gradient (~4 mm) of both secreted GFP and synNotch reporter gene expression (mCherry) in contrast to the narrow band of activation observed when pole cells expressed membranetethered GFP (Fig. 1D and fig. S2C). The GFP gradient formed within ~24 hours, whereas induced mCherry reporter expression reached steady state in ~96 hours (fig. S2, C and D, and movie S1). We found that another bivalently recognized protein-a fusion protein of mCherry with a PNE peptide (mCherry-PNE)-could also be recognized by an analogous anchor-receptor system (fig. S3 and supplementary text), which further supports the idea that arbitrary proteins can be converted into morphogens (Fig. 1B).

To investigate how the shape of a synthetic morphogen gradient could be modulated, we systematically perturbed different interaction parameters. Using the LaG2 anti-GFP nanobody as an anchor protein, we tested how anchor protein density can regulate gradient shape. We generated four different levels of anchor protein density by varying both the anchor protein expression level and the anchor cell number in the body. More specifically, we constructed high- or low-expressing anchor cells and mixed them at 50% (a 1:1 ratio) with receiver cells in the body (Fig. 2A and fig. S4). We also created a combination anchor-receiver L929 cell, which was used at 100% in the body, yielding an approximately twofold higher density of anchor proteins for a given expression level (fig. S4). These studies showed that when the overall density of anchor protein was reduced, the maximal signal amplitude close to the pole decreased, but the signal range extended a longer distance as morphogen diffused further before being trapped. The importance of anchor density as a determinant of morphogen gradient shape is consistent with trends predicted by a simple computational model (fig. S5B).

The spatial distribution of morphogens can also be regulated by antimorphogen inhibitors. For example, during Xenopus embryogenesis, BMP is secreted from a ventrally located pole, whereas Chordin-a BMP-binding inhibitoris secreted from an opposing pole (10, 11). Such antagonism by an inhibitor is a common theme observed in development. Inspired by activatorinhibitor opposition, we designed a three-region configuration on the culture dish: a central body surrounded by a morphogen-secreting pole and an inhibitor-secreting pole on opposite sides (Fig. 2B; see fig. S6, A and B, and materials and methods for development of morphogen inhibitor). We tested both morphogen and inhibitor sets (GFP and mCherry-PNE) in the double-pole system. When the GFP inhibitor was secreted from the opposing pole, we observed a reduced amplitude of the activation gradient generated by the GFP morphogen (fig. S6C). The mCherry-PNE inhibitor showed

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Cell Design Institute, Department of Cellular and Molecular Pharmacology, and Howard Hughes Medical Institute, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA 94158, USA. <sup>2</sup>Program in Craniofacial Biology and Department of Orofacial Sciences, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA 94143, USA. <sup>3</sup>Department of Pediatrics and Institute for Human Genetics, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA 94143, USA. \*Corresponding author. Email: wendell.lim@ucsf.edu (W.A.L.); satoshi.toda@staff.kanazawa-u.ac.jp (S.T.)

<sup>†</sup>Present address: WPI Nano Life Science Institute, Kanazawa University, Kanazawa 920-1192, Japan.

Fig. 1. Turning arbitrary proteins into synthetic morphogens.

(A) SynNotch receptors detect juxtacrine signals (e.g., membranetethered GFP). In the diffusible synNotch system, soluble GFP is produced from a secretor cell, then trapped by anti-GFP anchor protein, and finally presented to anti-GFP synNotch on a receiver cell. (B) Multiple arbitrary proteins with two recognition sites could be converted into synthetic morphogens (see fig. S3 for construction of mCherry-PNE peptide morphogen). Kd, dissociation constant. (C) Testing diffusible GFP synNotch system in L929 mouse fibroblasts. Anchor cell expresses anti-GFP LaG2 anchor protein. Receiver cell expresses anti-GFP LaG17 synNotch (induces mCherry reporter).  $1 \times 10^4$  GFP-secreting cells,  $0.5 \times 10^4$  anchor cells, and  $0.5 \times 10^4$  receiver cells were cultured overnight, and mCherry induction in receiver cells was measured by flow cytometry. (D) Juxtacrine versus diffusible GFP signaling gradient. Left pole has  $3 \times 10^4$  sender cells, and right body has  $1.5 \times 10^4$  cells (100%) receiver cells for juxtacrine: 50:50



anchor:receiver cells for diffusible GFP; see fig. S2, A and B). Images were taken by incucyte system over 4 days when system reached steady state (movie S1). Individual lines show mCherry intensity every 12 hours. memb., membrane.

a somewhat different pattern, reducing the signaling range of the activation gradient generated by mCherry-PNE morphogen with smaller effects on amplitude (Fig. 2B and supplementary text). Together, these results show that the signaling range and amplitude of a synthetic morphogen gradient can be tuned to generate a variety of shapes similar to those seen in embryos.

In natural morphogen systems, receiver cells can interpret a morphogen gradient in diverse, higher-order ways. We explored several mechanisms to reshape how the body cells interpret the synthetic morphogen gradient, taking advantage of the flexibility with which synNotch signaling can be engineered to drive any genetically encoded payload. We particularly focused on engineering intercellular positive and negative feedback loops among receiver cells (Fig. 3).

We first designed an intercellular positive feedback circuit in which the receiver cells sense the GFP morphogen and, in response, induce expression of more GFP (Fig. 3A). Cells with this positive feedback circuit did not trigger spontaneous activation with the anchor cells when engineered with sufficiently low background expression of GFP (fig. S7A). By contrast, when a body of positive feedback receiver cells (with anchor cells) was placed next to a pole of GFP-producing cells, the positive feedback circuit caused rapid, highamplitude spatial propagation of morphogen signal and reporter gene activation across the entire body (Fig. 3, B and C; fig. S7C; and movie S1).

We also constructed intercellular negative feedback receiver cells, in which the receiver cells sense the GFP morphogen and, in response, produce the soluble GFP inhibitor (Fig. 3A and fig. S7B). When negative feedback receiver cells (mixed with anchor cells) were placed in the body, the maximal amplitude of the response gradient was notably dampened. The negative feedback activity gradient reached a stable steady state far more rapidly (~30 versus 70 hours for negative feedback versus nonfeedback cells) (Fig. 3D and fig. S7D). Such stable gradient formation is similar to that which is observed in response to natural morphogens (e.g., wingless or hedgehog), with negative feedback pathways involving self-induced morphogen degradation, endocytosis, or inhibition (6, 12). In these cases, the common principle of morphogen-induced negative feedback is thought to lead to higher levels of negative regulation in the vicinity of the morphogen source but lower levels of negative regulation at a greater distance from the source, which yields a more extended and stable gradient.

We have created a modular toolkit of intercellular signaling components that can flexibly reshape morphogen production and interpretation. We wanted to test whether these modules could be combined to program higher-order pattern formation, such as the formation of multiple distinct segments within a body plan (Fig. 4). We first asked whether we could create a body plan with two distinct domains (activated and unactivated). To do so, we combined the positive feedback morphogen circuit with a counteracting inhibitor pole on the opposite side of the body (Fig. 4A). This circuit yielded two distinct domains: receiver cells close to morphogen source triggered positive feedback to drive strong synNotch activation, whereas signal activation close to the







1000 2000 3000 4000 5000

Distance (µm)

0



PNE morphogen with inhibitor. We used a three-well insert wall to build three regions:

morphogen pole, body, and inhibitor pole. The morphogen pole has a mixture of  $1\times10^4$  mCherry-PNE–secreting cells and  $2\times10^4$  parental L929 cells. The body has a  $1.5\times10^4$  mixture of anti-PNE anchor cells and anti-mCherry synNotch receiver cells (50:50 ratio). The inhibitor pole has cells expressing anti–mCherry-PNE inhibitor (total cell number:  $3\times10^4$  of cells; varying number of inhibitor cells: 0,  $0.1\times10^4$ ,  $0.3\times10^4$ , or  $1.0\times10^4$ ; remaining cells were parental L929). BFP output was quantified by In Cell Analyzer 6000 at day 4 (see fig. S6 for GFP inhibitor analysis).

## Fig. 3. Reshaping morphogen interpretation with positive or negative feedback. (A) In a

positive feedback circuit, GFP morphogen activates receiver cells to induce the secretion of more GFP. In a negative feedback circuit, GFP morphogen induces the expression of antimorphogen inhibitor by receiver cells. TF, transcription factor. (B) Comparison of mCherry output in the body with and without positive feedback at 96 hours (see fig. S7C for time course). The pole has  $3 \times 10^4$  GFP-secreting cells; the body has a  $1.5 \times 10^4$  mixture of anchor cells and receiver cells engineered with a positive feedback circuit (50:50 ratio). Images were taken by incucyte system for 4 days (movie S1). (C) Activity gradient profiles at 96 hours, with and without positive feedback. Shaded area shows SD from multiple experiments. (D) The mCherry-positive area (integral of top plots) plotted over time shows that the body with negative feedback reaches steady state faster than it does without feedback. AU, arbitrary units.



#### Fig. 4. Combining synthetic morphogen interpretation circuits to engineer multidomain spatial patterns. (A and

A

С

B) Programming two-domain pattern by combining positive feedback circuit with opposing morphogen and inhibitor poles. Morphogen pole (X) has  $3 \times 10^4$ GFP-secreting cells; the body has a 50:50 mixture of anchor cells and receiver cells with positive feedback (used in Fig. 3B) (1.5 × 10<sup>4</sup> total cells); and the inhibitor pole (Y) has  $2 \times 10^4$  anti-GFP inhibitor-secreting cells. Images were taken by incucyte at 120 hours (movie S1). See fig. S8B for variant circuits. (C and D) Programming threedomain pattern. We combined the two-morphogen cascade and positive feedback circuit with opposing morphogen and inhibitor poles. The body contains two types of cells: Cell A expresses antimCherry synNotch that induces BFP reporter and GFP morphogen (mCherry-PNE $\rightarrow$ GFP cascade), and cell B expresses anti-GFP LaG17 synNotch that induces expression of GFP morphogen (GFP→GFP positive feedback). See materials and methods for details. Image was taken at 96 hours by In Cell Analyzer 6000 (movie S2). IFP, infrared fluorescent protein.



(mCherry-PNE anchor)

hibitor to sharpen the initial morphogen graceptor activation. Whereas natural morphogens often function autonomously, most participate in weak tethering interactions that are analogous to anchoring-whether interacting with cell surface proteoglycans, extracellular matrix, or cell membranes through lipid modifications (fig. S9A) (13-15). These tethering interactions are proposed, in many cases, to constrain signaling range and to prevent the leakage of

BFP

0

1000

single domain

<u>two</u> domains

D

120hr

500µm

100

none

Inhibitor cell

1000 2000 3000 4000

GFP

4000

Distance (µm)

96hi

500µm

three domains

2000

Distance (µm)

3000

2 x10<sup>4</sup>

fig. S10). These synthetic morphogen platforms can program positional information without crosstalk to endogenous signaling pathways. Thus, it may be possible to deploy them in vivo as inert tools to probe or redirect development. Related studies (18) have shown that an analogous synthetic GFP morphogen can function in vivo in Drosophila. Thus, these synthetic morphogen systems could be used to facilitate controlled forward engineering of tissues and organs, both in a native-like or a modified fashion. We found that the diffusible synNotch system functioned in other cell types, including

morphogens (16, 17) (supplementary text and

inhibitor source was blocked, which lead to a nonlinear, switch-like transition from active to inactive domains (Fig. 4B). By changing the number of GFP inhibitor-secreting cells, we could tune the widths of the domains (fig. S8, A and B).

Finally, we set out to engineer a circuit that produces a three-domain body, akin to Wolpert's classic French Flag pattern (2). We designed a circuit that incorporates a two-morphogen cascade, positive feedback, and opposing pole inhibition (Fig. 4C). In this circuit, the left pole secretes mCherry-PNE morphogen. The body contains two types of uniformly mixed receiver cells (fig. S8C): receiver A cells that sense mCherry-PNE to induce the expression of a blue fluorescent protein (BFP) reporter and GFP morphogen (mCherry-PNE→GFP two-morphogen cascade) and receiver B cells that sense GFP to induce the secretion of GFP (GFP $\rightarrow$ GFP positive feedback) (cells A and B are engineered to serve as anchors for each other). To oppose morphogen signaling, the right pole secretes the anti-mCherry-PNE in-

dient. With this composite circuit, we observe the robust formation of three distinct domains (Fig. 4D): a BFP<sup>+</sup>GFP<sup>+</sup> domain closest to the mCherry-PNE morphogen pole; a BFP<sup>-</sup>GFP<sup>+</sup> middle domain (where the GFP<sup>+</sup> region is extended by GFP $\rightarrow$ GFP positive feedback); and, furthest (closest to the inhibitor pole), a BFP-GFPdomain (fig. S8D and movie S2). This toolkit of synthetic cell-cell communication components can be used to write spatial programs capable of encoding multiple, distinct body domains.

(GFP anchor)

Although evolution has relied on a relatively small set of specialized morphogen families, we find that arbitrary proteins with no known history of functioning as morphogens can be converted into effective morphogens if they are deployed with a complementary system of receptors, anchoring interactions, and inhibitors. These synthetic morphogens differ from natural morphogens in that they explicitly require a distinct anchoring protein to constrain their distribution and mediate synNotch re-

immune cells (fig. S11), which provides further possibilities as to how such synthetic signaling systems could be deployed to shape spatially controlled functions in vivo.

#### **REFERENCES AND NOTES**

- 1. A. M. Turing, Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B 237, 37-72 (1952).
- 2. L. Wolpert, J. Theor. Biol. 25, 1–47 (1969).
- 3. K. W. Rogers, A. F. Schier, Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol. 27, 377-407 (2011).
- A. D. Lander, Science 339, 923-927 (2013). 4.
- T. Tabata, Y. Takei, Development 131, 703-712 (2004). 5.
- 6. P. Li et al., Science 360, 543-548 (2018), R. Sekine, T. Shibata, M. Ebisuya, Nat. Commun. 9, 5456 7.
- (2018). 8.
- L. Morsut et al., Cell 164, 780-791 (2016).
- P. C. Fridy et al., Nat. Methods 11, 1253-1260 (2014). 10. E. M. De Robertis, H. Kuroda, Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol. 20, 285-308 (2004).
- 11. E. Bier, E. M. De Robertis, Science 348, aaa5838 (2015).
- 12. A. Eldar, D. Rosin, B.-Z. Shilo, N. Barkai, Dev. Cell 5, 635-646 (2003).
- 13. D. Yan, X. Lin, Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol. 1, a002493 (2009)
- 14. A. Parchure, N. Vyas, S. Mayor, Trends Cell Biol. 28, 157-170 (2018)

- 15. Y. Wang, X. Wang, T. Wohland, K. Sampath, eLife 5, e13879 (2016).
- 16. P. Müller, K. W. Rogers, S. R. Yu, M. Brand, A. F. Schier, Development 140, 1621-1638 (2013).
- 17. T. B. Kornberg, A. Guha, Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev. 17, 264-271 (2007). 18. K. S. Stapornwongkul, M. de Gennes, L. Cocconi, G. Salbreux,
- J.-P. Vincent, Science 370, 321-327 (2020).

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank A. McMahon for Shh-GFP and L. Morsut, K. Roybal, J. Brunger, N. Frankel, and members of the Lim laboratory for discussion and assistance. We also thank members of the University of California San Francisco Center for Systems and Synthetic Biology and the NSF Center for Cellular Construction. Funding: This work was supported by the Human Frontiers of Science Program (HFSP): the Senri Life Science Foundation: the Kato Memorial Bioscience Foundation; JSPS KAKENHI grant no. 20K15828 and World Premier International Research Center Initiative (WPI), MEXT, Japan (to S.T.); a Ruth L. Kirschstein National Research Service Award (NRSA) Individual Postdoctoral Fellowship F32DK123939 (to W.L.M.); the NSF DBI-1548297 Center for Cellular Construction; the DARPA Engineered Living Materials program; and the Howard Hughes Medical Institute (to W.A.L.). T.J.H. and O.D.K. were supported by NIH R01-DE028496 and R35-DE026602. Author contributions: S.T. developed and planned research; carried out design, construction, and testing of multicellular patterning circuits; and wrote the manuscript. W.L.M. planned research, carried out testing of multicellular patterning circuits, and edited the

manuscript. T.J.H. designed and tested simulation works, wrote methods for simulations, and edited the manuscript. P1 helped with material construction ODK oversaw research and edited the manuscript, W.A.L. developed, planned, and oversaw research and wrote and edited the manuscript. Competing interests: W.A.L. and S.T. have a financial interest in Gilead Biosciences. W.A.L. and S.T. are inventors on a patent application (PCT/US2016/019188) held by the Regents of the University of California that covers binding synthetic Notch receptors. Data and materials availability: All data are available in the main manuscript and supplementary materials. All plasmids developed in this study will be deposited at Addgene (www.addgene.org/Wendell\_Lim/), where they will be publicly available.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

science.sciencemag.org/content/370/6514/327/suppl/DC1 Materials and Methods Supplementary Text Figs. S1 to S11 Table S1 References (19-27) MDAR Reproducibility Checklist Movies S1 and S2

View/request a protocol for this paper from Bio-protocol.

31 March 2020; accepted 24 August 2020 10 1126/science abc0033



#### Engineering synthetic morphogen systems that can program multicellular patterning

Satoshi Toda, Wesley L. McKeithan, Teemu J. Hakkinen, Pilar Lopez, Ophir D. Klein and Wendell A. Lim

Science 370 (6514), 327-331. DOI: 10.1126/science.abc0033

#### Engineering synthetic morphogens

Morphogens provide positional information during tissue development. For this behavior to occur, morphogens must spread out and form a concentration gradient; however, their mechanism of transport remains a matter of debate. Stapornwongkul et al. now show that in the presence of extracellular binding elements (binders), the inert green fluorescent protein (GFP) can form a detectable concentration gradient by diffusion in the developing fly wing (see the Perspective by Barkai and Shilo). When combining the expression of nonsignaling binders and receptors engineered to respond to GFP, a synthetic GFP gradient can substitute for a natural morphogen to organize growth and patterning. In related work, Toda *et al.* also show that GFP can be converted into a morphogen by providing anchoring interactions that tether the molecule, forming a gradient that can be recognized by synthetic receptors that activate gene expression. These synthetic morphogens can be used to program de novo multidomain tissue patterns. These results highlight core mechanisms of morphogen signaling and patterning and provide ways to program spatial tissue organization independently from endogenous morphogen pathways. Science, this issue p. 321, p. 327; see also p. 292

ARTICLE TOOLS	http://science.sciencemag.org/content/370/6514/327
SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS	http://science.sciencemag.org/content/suppl/2020/10/14/370.6514.327.DC1
RELATED CONTENT	http://science.sciencemag.org/content/sci/370/6514/292.full http://science.sciencemag.org/content/sci/370/6514/321.full
REFERENCES	This article cites 27 articles, 11 of which you can access for free http://science.sciencemag.org/content/370/6514/327#BIBL
PERMISSIONS	http://www.sciencemag.org/help/reprints-and-permissions

Use of this article is subject to the Terms of Service

Science (print ISSN 0036-8075; online ISSN 1095-9203) is published by the American Association for the Advancement of Science, 1200 New York Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20005. The title Science is a registered trademark of AAAS.

Copyright © 2020 The Authors, some rights reserved; exclusive licensee American Association for the Advancement of Science. No claim to original U.S. Government Works